Objectives

- An open, seamless and no fault process that allows the free flow of lessons learned across both organizational and company lines.
- **♦** A process that effectively identifies and resolves broad safety issues across the DOE Complex before these result in significant events or re-occurrences.

What We Have Now/The Gap

- **♦** Localized Solutions vs. Corporate.
- **♦** Some sharing of Lessons Learned (SELLS)
- Some Identification of Selected Generic Issues by Central Review of Occurrence Reports.
- **♦** Some Issues Mandated by Corporate (explosive hazards safety review).
- Field Offices and Contractors Don't Own Issues Imposed By Headquarters (Divisive)

What We Have Now/The Gap (cont.)

- Competing Contractors, w/o Counterbalancing Incentives.
- Local Focus by Field Office.
- No Established Process to Consistently Flow Potential Issues to a Corporate Entity.
- No Comprehensive Cross-Cutting Reviews.
- Some Isolated Examples of Corporate Approach:
 - PAAA Program (Coordinators, Web site, Program Reviews, etc.)

Consensus on Key Attributes

Centralized EH function

- Collect/disseminate Lessons Learned.
- Screen for significant safety issues.
- Corporate solution for significant issues.

♦ Appropriate Contract Requirements & Incentives

- Sharing and using Lessons Learned.
- Identification of potential generic issues, and effective resolution of DOE Complex safety issues.

Consensus on Key Attributes (cont.)

- Broad use across the complex.
- Collaborative process with Contractor and DOE Field involvement.
- Must be an open, seamless, and no-fault process.
- **♦** Issues tied to ISM so safety objective and value are clear.

Open Discussion

First Discussion Item: Existing Lessons Learned Processes

- ♦ What lessons learned sharing processes are currently available to DOE (e.g., ORPS, SELLS, OEWS, NTS)?
- Which of these processes are effective in promoting the identification and communication of lessons learned so that contractors can use lessons from others?
- **♦** What attributes contributed to that effectiveness?

Open Discussion

First Discussion Item: Existing Lessons Learned Processes (cont'd)

 Discuss whether we can enhance any of the existing lessons learned processes to also meet the objectives for corporate problem solving.

Open Discussion

First Discussion Item: Existing Lessons Learned Processes (cont'd)

- What are the shortcomings of these processes in being effective and credible as a DOE Complex-Wide lessons learned process?
 - source not used/embraced across the complex
 - ability to sort and search for matters of local applicability
 - lack of considering if a problem is a generic complexwide problem

Open Discussion

Second Discussion Item: Organizational Issues Relating to Corporate Problem Solving

 What organization changes or changes in roles and responsibilities are required to implement an effective lessons learned process

Open Discussion

Third Discussion Item: Contract Issues

- Should contract changes be made to enhance participation and acceptance of a particular lessons learned program?
- Why should contractors participate in a particular DOEwide lessons learned program if not required by contract?

Open Discussion

Third Discussion Item: Contract Issues (cont'd)

- How can we get contractor buy-in of a particular lessons learned program?
- Should this include changes in contract requirements as well as incentives

'Strawman' Action Plan – Lessons Learned/Corporate Problem Solving

Task	Milestone	Milestone
I. Enhance Processes	Proposed changes defined by	Proposed changes approved by
(To improve lessons learned dissemination and	team – [3Q-02(CY)]	management – [4Q-02(CY)]
corporate problem solving of significant issues.)		
II. Contract Requirements & Incentives	Proposed changes defined by	Proposed changes approved by
(Requirements on use of lessons learned process,	team - [3Q-02(CY)]	management – [4Q-02(CY)]
and incentives for an effective process,		
participation in corporate problem solving, and		
resolution of significant generic issues.)		
III. Organization Changes	Proposed changes defined by	Proposed changes approved by
(Changes in structure, Roles and	team - [3Q-02(CY)]	management – [4Q-02(CY)]
Responsibilities.)		
IV. Implementation	Communication, process &	Contract changes –
(Communication, orientation/training, process	program changes, orientation	[2Q-03(CY)]
and program changes, contract changes.)	and training – [1Q-03(CY)]	